For Publication

Bedfordshire Fire and Rescue Authority Human Resources Policy and Challenge

Group

20 September 2017

Item No. 10

REPORT AUTHOR: HEAD OF ORANISATIONAL ASSURANCE

SUBJECT: CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

For further information Area Commander Darren Cook on this Report contact:

Head of Organisational Assurance

Tel No: 01234 845061

Background Papers: None

Implications (tick ✓):

LEGAL			FINANCIAL	
HUMAN RESOURCES			EQUALITY IMPACT	
ENVIRONMENTAL			POLICY	
CORPORATE RISK	Known	✓	OTHER (please specify)	
	New			

Any implications affecting this report are noted at the end of the report.

PURPOSE:

To consider the Service's Corporate Risk Register in relation to Human Resources.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Members note and approve the review by the Service of the Corporate Risk Register in relation to Human Resources Policy and Challenge Group.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Members have requested a standing item to be placed on the Agenda of the Policy and Challenge Groups for the consideration of risks relating to the remit of each Group. In addition, the Fire and Rescue Authority's (FRA) Audit and Standards Committee receives regular reports on the full Corporate Risk Register.
- 1.2 An extract of the Corporate Risk Register showing the risks appropriate to the Human Resources Policy and Challenge Group together with explanatory notes regarding the risk ratings applied is appended to this report.

2. Current Revisions

- 2.1 The register is reviewed on a monthly basis during the Service's Corporate Management Team (CMT) meetings and by CMT members between these meetings if required. A copy of the risks relevant to the Human Resources Policy and Challenge Group are attached for your information and approval.
- 2.2 Changes to individual risk ratings in the Corporate Risk Register: None. Individual risk ratings have been reviewed and are unchanged.
- 2.3 Updates to individual risks in the Corporate Risk Register:
 - CRR00040: If there is a retirement of a large number of operational officers over a short period of time then we lose significant operational and managerial experience within the service which could ultimately affect our service delivery and wider corporate functionality in the shorter term: A new recruitment process commences in August 2017 with an application process open for 5 weeks. This will be supported by a large media campaign and a series of positive action events across the county to ensure that opportunities are taken to attract the very best candidates from all areas of our community. The successful candidates will be eligible for foundation training in 2018.
 - CRR00025: If operational personnel either individually or collectively at any or all levels do not meet the minimum level of competence to safely deal with the full range of incidents which may be encountered, particularly areas of competencies relating to: Incident Command Use of Breathing Apparatus Compartment Fire Behaviour Water related incidents High-Rise incidents Work at Height Then there is the potential to cause significant injury or even deaths to our staff: In June 2017 13 new firefighters attended The Fire Service College to undertake a Skills For Justice accredited Foundation training course. These new firefighters are also required to undertake a series of specialist training in Service prior to posting to operational stations from September 2017. Performance of all operational firefighters and managers is regularly monitored and reported through the respective indicators relative to safety critical training activities. CMT and Fire Authority Members are presented with these. They remain as stretch targets for 2017/18."

AREA COMMANDER DARREN COOK HEAD OF ORGANISATIONAL ASSURANCE Explanatory tables in regard to the risk impact scores, the risk rating and the risk strategy.

Risk Rating

Diak	Diek Deting Considerations/Action
Risk	Risk Rating Considerations/Action
Rating/Colour	
	High risks which require urgent management attention and action.
	Where appropriate, practical and proportionate to do so, new risk
	controls must be implemented as soon as possible, to reduce the risk
Very High	rating. New controls aim to:
	? reduce the likelihood of a disruption
	? shorten the period of a disruption if it occurs
	? limit the impact of a disruption if it occurs
	These risks are monitored by CMT risk owner on a regular basis and
	reviewed quarterly and annually by CMT.
	These are high risks which require management attention and action.
High	Where practical and proportionate to do so, new risk controls should
	be implemented to reduce the risk rating as the aim above. These
	risks are monitored by CMT risk owner on a regular basis and
	reviewed quarterly and annually by CMT.
	These are moderate risks. New risk controls should be considered
Moderate	and scoped. Where practical and proportionate, selected controls
	should be prioritised for implementation. These risks are monitored
	and reviewed by CMT.
	These risks are unlikely to occur and are not significant in their impact.
Low	They are managed within CMT management framework and reviewed
	by CMT.

Risk Strategy

Mak Othatogy	Nisk Strategy				
Risk Strategy	Description				
Treat	Implement and monitor the effectiveness of new controls to reduce the risk rating. This may involve significant resource to achieve (IT infrastructure for data replication/storage, cross-training of specialist staff, providing standby-premises etc) or may comprise a number of low cost, or cost neutral, mitigating measures which cumulatively reduce the risk rating (a validated Business Continuity plan, documented and regularly rehearsed building evacuation procedures etc)				
Tolerate	A risk may be acceptable without any further action being taken depending on the risk appetite of the organisation. Also, while there may clearly be additional new controls which could be implemented to 'treat' a risk, if the cost of treating the risk is greater than the anticipated impact and loss should the risk occur, then it may be decided to tolerate the risk maintaining existing risk controls only				
Transfer	It may be possible to transfer the risk to a third party (conventional insurance or service provision (outsourcing)), however it is not possible to transfer the responsibility for the risk which remains with BLFRS				
Terminate	In some circumstances it may be appropriate or possible to terminate or remove the risk altogether by changing policy, process, procedure or function				