For Publication	S G 10	edfordshire Fire and Rescu ervice Delivery Policy and (roup) March 2016 em No. 11	-
REPORT AUTHOR:	HEAD OF SAF	ETY AND STRATEGIC PRO	DJECTS
SUBJECT:	CORPORATE RISK REGISTER		
For further information on this Report contact:	Service Operational Commander Tony Rogers Head of Safety and Strategic Projects Tel No: 01234 845163		
Background Papers:	None		
Implications (tick \checkmark):			
LEGAL		FINANCIAL	
HUMAN RESOURCES		EQUALITY IMPACT	
		POLICY	

Any implications affecting this report are noted at the end of the report.

Known New

PURPOSE:

To consider the Service's Corporate Risk Register in relation to Service Delivery.

 \checkmark

CORE BRIEF

RECOMMENDATION:

CORPORATE RISK

That Members note and approve the review by the Service of the Corporate Risk Register in relation to Service Delivery.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Members have requested a standing item to be placed on the Agenda of the Policy and Challenge Groups for the consideration of risks relating to the remit of each Group. In addition, the Fire and Rescue Authority's (FRA) Audit and Standards Committee receives regular reports on the full Corporate Risk Register.
- 1.2 An extract of the Corporate Risk Register showing the risks appropriate to the Service Delivery Policy and Challenge Group will be available at the meeting.

Explanatory notes regarding the risk ratings applied is appended to this report.

- 2. <u>Current Revisions</u>
- 2.1 The register is reviewed on a monthly basis during the Service's Corporate Management Team (CMT) meetings and by CMT members between these meetings if required. A copy of the risks relevant to the Service Delivery Policy and Challenge Group are attached for your information and approval.
- 2.2 Changes to individual risk ratings in the Corporate Risk Register: None. All risks that are reported to the Service Delivery Policy and Challenge Group have been reviewed and there are no risk rating changes to report to Members.
- 2.3 Updates to individual risks in the Corporate Risk Register:
 - CRR01: If we do not plan properly for major operational incidents then we may not be able to resolve the incident appropriately and thus adversely effect our service delivery provision: CRR01 comprises of a number of actions and control measures necessary to assist in mitigating the risk to the Service. Progress against these actions are detailed below:
 - National Resilience Capability: The Service has in place a *Fire Special Operations Team* (FSOT) that are equipped and trained to operate in complex, arduous situations alongside other specialist teams and units from the Police, Military and Ambulance Services. As part of the Governments requirements FSOT have now been declared as a National Asset for deployment, when requested, across the UK with revised mobilising procedures in place to enable this type of mobilisation. In addition arrangements are in place for an external audit of the Service's FSOT capability following the completion of a self-assessment submitted to CFOA in February 2016. The external audit is due to take place in March 2016 with the outcomes fed back to the Service as appropriate.
 - Flooding Response Arrangements: The Service's Rescue Boat based at Bedford Fire Station has also been declared as a National Asset meeting DEFRA guidance. New mobilising procedures for this team have been put in place alongside safety arrangements for specifically trained *Water Incident Management Officers* (WIMO) to be available for a national mobilisation if required.
 - Multi agency training on BLRF (Bedfordshire Local Resilience Forum) Major Incident Plan: The Service continues to work with BLRF colleagues in joint training exercises ensuring that in the event of a major incident pre-planned response arrangements are tested and refined accordingly. As part of these arrangements the Service is taking part in a multi-agency exercise in March 2016.

3. <u>Business Continuity</u>

3.1 As part of the Service's Business Continuity (BC) arrangements further BC plans have been developed covering the potential of Functional and Service wide Business Interruptions. These plans build upon existing arrangements forming part of a developing programme of testing ensuring that the Service is best placed to deliver vital services to the communities in the event of a business failure.

SERVICE OPERATIONAL COMMANDER TONY ROGERS HEAD OF SAFETY AND STRATEGIC PROJECTS

Explanatory tables in regard to the risk impact scores, the risk rating and the risk strategy.

Risk	Rating
1 1011	i tuting

RISK Rating	I
Risk	Risk Rating Considerations / Action
Rating/Colour	
Very High	 High risks which require urgent management attention and action. Where appropriate, practical and proportionate to do so, new risk controls must be implemented as soon as possible, to reduce the risk rating. New controls aim to: reduce the likelihood of a disruption
	 shorten the period of a disruption if it occurs
	limit the impact of a disruption if it occurs
	These risks are monitored by CMT risk owner on a regular basis and
	reviewed quarterly and annually by CMT.
High	These are high risks which require management attention and action. Where practical and proportionate to do so, new risk controls <i>should</i> be implemented to reduce the risk rating as the aim above. These risks are monitored by CMT risk owner on a regular basis and reviewed quarterly and annually by CMT.
Moderate	These are moderate risks. New risk controls should be considered and scoped. Where practical and proportionate, selected controls should be prioritised for implementation. These risks are monitored and reviewed by CMT.
Low	These risks are unlikely to occur and are not significant in their impact. They are managed within CMT management framework and reviewed by CMT.

Risk Strategy

	Nak Olialogy		
Risk Strategy	Description		
Treat	Implement and monitor the effectiveness of new controls to reduce the risk rating. This may involve significant resource to achieve (IT infrastructure for data replication/storage, cross-training of specialist staff, providing standby-premises etc) or may comprise a number of low cost, or cost neutral, mitigating measures which cumulatively reduce the risk rating (a validated Business Continuity plan, documented and regularly rehearsed building evacuation procedures etc)		
Tolerate	A risk may be acceptable without any further action being taken depending on the risk appetite of the organisation. Also, while there may clearly be additional new controls which could be implemented to 'treat' a risk, if the cost of treating the risk is greater than the anticipated impact and loss should the risk occur, then it may be decided to tolerate the risk maintaining existing risk controls only		
Transfer	It may be possible to transfer the risk to a third party (conventional insurance or service provision (outsourcing)), however it is not possible to transfer the responsibility for the risk which remains with BLFRS		
Terminate	In some circumstances it may be appropriate or possible to terminate or remove the risk altogether by changing policy, process, procedure or function		